

1. a) State the definition of a reflexive relation.

A relation  $R$  on a set  $S$  is reflexive iff  $\forall a \in S, (a, a) \in R$ .

- b) Give an example of a relation on the set  $\{a, b, c\}$  which is transitive but not symmetric.

The relation  $\{(c, b)\}$  is (vacuously) transitive, but not symmetric because it has  $(c, b)$  without having  $(b, c)$ .

2. Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , and consider the partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}$  of  $S$ . Write the equivalence relation  $\sim$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{P}$ .

$$\sim = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (5, 3), (5, 4), (5, 5)\}$$

Great

3. a) Express the definition of an injective function formally in terms of ordered pairs.

$$(x_1, a), (x_2, a) \in f \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$$

Good

b) Express the definition of an even function formally in terms of ordered pairs.

$$(x, y) \in f \Rightarrow (-x, y) \in f.$$

Great

4. Let  $S$  be a set and  $\mathcal{P}$  a partition of  $S$ .

a) The relation on  $S$  defined by  $a \sim b$  iff  $\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$  for which  $a, b \in P$  is a reflexive relation.

If  $a \in S$ , there must be at least one set in  $\mathcal{P}$  that contains  $a$  because the sets of the partition of  $S$  form  $S$  when united together. Since  $\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$  for which  $a \in P$ ,  $a \in P$ . Since  $a, a \in P$ ,  $a \sim a$ . Therefore, this is a reflexive relation.

Nice

b) The relation on  $S$  defined by  $a \sim b$  iff  $\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$  for which  $a, b \in P$  is a symmetric relation.

Suppose  $a, b \in S$  and  $a \sim b$ , meaning that  $\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$  for which  $a, b \in P$ . Since  $a, b \in P$ ,  $b, a \in P$ . This means that  $b \sim a$ . Therefore, the relation is symmetric.

Great

c) The relation on  $S$  defined by  $a \sim b$  iff  $\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$  for which  $a, b \in P$  is a transitive relation.

Suppose  $a, b, c \in S$ ,  $a \sim b$ , and  $b \sim c$ . This means that  $\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $a, b \in P$ , and  $\exists Q \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $b, c \in Q$ .

By our definition of partition,  $\mathcal{P}$  is pairwise disjoint, meaning that two sets in  $\mathcal{P}$  can only share elements if they are equal. Therefore if  $b \in P$  and  $b \in Q$ ,  $P = Q$ . Since  $P = Q$  and  $a \in P$ ,  $a \in Q$ .  $a, c \in Q$ , so  $a \sim c$ . Therefore, this is a transitive relation.

Excellent!

5. Say that two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  of a graph  $G$  are **propinquous** iff there exists a walk between them that contains exactly one vertex other than  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .


a) Is the relation of being propinquous reflexive?


Nope. In the graph  $\bullet^{v_1}$  with no edges, there is no walk from  $v_1$  to  $v_1$  including another vertex.

b) Is the relation of being propinquous symmetric?

Yep. If  $v_1 \sim v_2$  that means there exists a walk  $v_1 e_1 v_3 e_2 v_2$  containing exactly one vertex other than  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . But if we reverse this,  $v_2 e_2 v_3 e_1 v_1$  is a walk containing exactly one vertex other than  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , so  $v_2 \sim v_1$ .

c) Is the relation of being propinquous transitive?

Not in general. For example in  there is a walk with exactly one other vertex from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  and a walk with exactly one other vertex from  $v_2$  to  $v_3$ , but no walk with exactly one other vertex from  $v_1$  to  $v_3$ .

*Bonus* Note, however, that there are graphs like  where any two vertices are joined by a walk containing exactly one other vertex, so on those graphs this relation will be reflexive.